



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,535	04/16/2001	Mikhail E. Ghali	165104-600-001	5410
7590 06/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Jones, Day Reavis & Pogue			BRANT, DMITRY	
	04/16/2001 Mikhail E. Ghali 165104-600-001 5410 7590 06/21/2004 EXAMINER			
wasnington, 1	JC 20001-2115		2655	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/835,535	GHALI, MIKHAIL E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Brant	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are provided by the office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sunication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to otion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority of3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892)	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of (6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/835,535 Page 2

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection for claims1 and 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As per claims 1, 12, and 19, Applicant fails to disclose the specifics of "reducing a text-to-speech" phonetics set in the specification. As a result, one skilled in the relevant art would not be able to understand the reduction process of text-to-speech set solely based on disclosure (specification + drawings). Neither description on page 13 nor the drawing # 6 shed sufficient light on what the author means by "reduction" process for one skilled in the art to understand the exact scope of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,535

Art Unit: 2655

Thus, the Examiner believes that the disclosure is not sufficient to support the "enablement" of the invention and the lack of such disclosure makes the interpretation of the "reduction" process overly ambiguous.

Claims 2-11, 13-18, 20, are rejected because they depend on rejected independent claims 1, 12 and 19.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, claim 4 recites "step of reducing said maximal set further comprises reducing an automatic speech recognition phonetic set." However, the newly amended claim 1 recites "reducing said maximal set further comprises reducing a text-to-speech phonetics set." Because this limitation was not there in the original claim 1, the two recitations did not interfere. However, now claims 1 and 4 are in conflict because the subject matter of claim 1 is directly incorporated in claim 4. Since reduction of ASR set (claim 4) is not exactly the same as the reduction of TTS set (claim 1), the two reductions potentially contradict each other. As a result, the applicant is required to change the claim language to make sure that claims 1 and 4 do not conflict with each other.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/835,535

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Henton (6,738,738) teaches "goldenization" process where TTS set is used for speech recognition.

Shaw et al. (6,363,342) teach system where word pronunciation pairs are developed using TTS.

Barnard (6,434,521) teaches using updating pronunciation dictionaries using TTS Huang et al. (5,933,804) teach using TTS for verification of ASR results.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Brant whose telephone number is (703) 305-8954. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (8:30am - 5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis Ivars Smits can be reached on (703) 306-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Tech Center 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305- 4700.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,535

Art Unit: 2655

DB 6/10/04

egge 10

6-14-2004

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER